

Gradient Flow in Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory

NICK E. MAVROMATOS¹ AND RICHARD J. SZABO²

*Department of Physics – Theoretical Physics
University of Oxford
1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, U.K.*

ABSTRACT

We establish conditions under which the worldsheet β -functions of logarithmic conformal field theories can be derived as the gradient of some scalar function on the moduli space of running coupling constants. We derive a renormalization group invariant version of this function and relate it to the usual Zamolodchikov C -function expressed in terms of correlation functions of the worldsheet energy-momentum tensor. The results are applied to the example of D-brane recoil in string theory.

¹PPARC Advanced Fellow (U.K.).

E-mail: n.mavromatos1@physics.oxford.ac.uk

²Work supported in part by PPARC (U.K.).

E-mail: r.szabo1@physics.oxford.ac.uk

Logarithmic conformal field theories [1] are extensions of conventional conformal field theories which have in recent years emerged in a number of interesting physical problems in condensed matter physics [2], string theory [3]–[6], and nonlinear dynamical systems [7]. They are characterized by the fact that their Virasoro operator L_0 is not diagonalizable, but rather admits a Jordan cell structure whose basis yields the set of logarithmic operators of the theory. The non-trivial mixing between these operators leads to logarithmic divergences in their correlation functions. Such theories therefore exhibit logarithmic scaling violations on the worldsheet. Nonetheless, it is possible to deal rigorously with the Jordan cell structures of these theories and classify their conformal blocks to some extent as in ordinary conformal field theories.

Theories involving logarithmic operators lie on the border between conformal field theories and generic two-dimensional quantum field theories. They can be viewed as deformations of conformally-invariant theories whose critical exponents are in general not scale invariant. Being rather subtle perturbations away from the fixed points in the space of two-dimensional field theories, it is natural to ask to what extent the conventional formalisms for studying the properties of conformal field theories can be extended when logarithmic operators emerge. In this letter we shall discuss the rôle played by logarithmic conformal field theories in the geometry of the moduli space of two-dimensional renormalizable field theories. In particular, we examine in these cases an analog of the Zamolodchikov C -function [8] which interpolates among two-dimensional quantum field theories along the trajectories of the renormalization group and whose stationary points coincide with the fixed points of these flows. At these points the C -function is the central charge of the resulting conformal field theory.

For a generalized σ -model on a Riemann surface Σ with worldsheet energy-momentum tensor T , the Zamolodchikov C -function [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[g] = \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \left(2z^4 \langle T_{zz}(z, \bar{z}) T_{zz}(0, 0) \rangle_g - 4z^3 \bar{z} \langle T_{zz}(z, \bar{z}) T_{z\bar{z}}(0, 0) \rangle_g \right. \\ \left. - 6z^2 \bar{z}^2 \langle T_{z\bar{z}}(z, \bar{z}) T_{z\bar{z}}(0, 0) \rangle_g \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

can be regarded as an effective action in the space of two-dimensional renormalizable field theories on Σ [9, 10]. The expectation values in (1) are taken in a conformal field theory deformed by vertex operators with associated coupling constants g^I . The corresponding classical equations of motion are the gradient flow property of the C -function which for unitary theories leads to the C -theorem

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt} = -12 \sum_{I,J} \beta^I G_{IJ} \beta^J \leq 0 \quad (2)$$

where t is the renormalization group parameter, $\beta^I[g(t)] = dg^I(t)/dt$ are the worldsheet β -functions, and G_{IJ} is the positive-definite Zamolodchikov metric on the moduli space of running coupling constants $g^I(t)$. The C -function therefore decreases monotonically

under renormalization group transformations, thereby providing an analog of an entropy function, and the C -theorem suggests a geometrical picture for the equivalence of conformal invariance conditions and background string field equations of motion.

In the following we shall examine conditions under which there exists a suitable variant of the C -function whose gradient flows coincide with the worldsheet β -functions associated with the perturbation of conformally-invariant quantum field theories by logarithmic operators. We will show that with a proper covariantization of the β -function equations using the Zamolodchikov metric, there are indeed conditions under which such a scalar function exists. This is in contrast to the approach of [11] which used non-covariantized β -functions, for which there is no gradient flow for the coupling constants in logarithmic conformal field theories. The method we shall employ is an extension of the approach of [12], where by generic scaling arguments it was argued that in conventional d -dimensional scale invariant quantum field theories a gradient flow for the renormalization group equations is always possible, within certain classes of renormalization schemes.

We consider the simplest case of a two-dimensional logarithmic conformal field theory that has a 2×2 Jordan block in which the logarithmic operators C and D have equal conformal dimension $(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$. For ease of notation, we work in only one chiral sector of the worldsheet theory and take C and D to be holomorphic fields. A conformal transformation $z \mapsto f(z)$ on Σ mixes the pair of fields as

$$\begin{aligned} C(z) &\mapsto \left(\frac{df^{-1}(z)}{dz} \right)^\Delta C(f^{-1}(z)) \\ D(z) &\mapsto \left(\frac{df^{-1}(z)}{dz} \right)^\Delta \left[D(f^{-1}(z)) + \log \left(\frac{df^{-1}(z)}{dz} \right) C(f^{-1}(z)) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where an appropriate normalization for the D operator has been chosen. It follows that the corresponding states $|C\rangle = C(0)|0\rangle$ and $|D\rangle = D(0)|0\rangle$ generate a rank 2 Jordan cell structure for the Virasoro operator L_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_0|C\rangle &= \Delta|C\rangle \\ L_0|D\rangle &= \Delta|D\rangle + |C\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Consistency of invariance under the action of the Virasoro generators leads to a set of partial differential equations [13] (or fusion rules [1]) for the two- and three-point functions involving the logarithmic pair C, D . For the two-point functions this yields [1, 13]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C(z)C(w) \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle C(z)D(w) \rangle &= \frac{a}{(z-w)^{2\Delta}} \\ \langle D(z)D(w) \rangle &= \frac{1}{(z-w)^{2\Delta}} (b - 2a \log(z-w)) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where b is an arbitrary (integration) constant and the coefficient a is fixed by the leading logarithmic term in the conformal blocks. These properties are the basic, non-trivial

characteristics of quantum field theories involving logarithmic operators, and are what will lead to some noteworthy features in connection with their behaviour in moduli space.

Because of its logarithmic scaling violations, the quantum field theory naturally contains an ultraviolet scale Λ on Σ .³ We suppose that the logarithmic pair C, D is constructed from the fields of some conformally-invariant theory with action S_* . We may then consider the deformation of this theory by the logarithmic operators defined by the action

$$S = S_* + \int_{\Sigma} d^2z \Lambda^{2-\Delta-\overline{\Delta}} \left(g^C C(z, \bar{z}) + g^D D(z, \bar{z}) \right) \quad (6)$$

where g^C and g^D are dimensionless coupling constants which depend on the scale Λ . In writing (6) we have multiplied together the holomorphic and antiholomorphic components of the logarithmic fields. We are interested in the flows of these coupling constants under the renormalization group, which in this case are non-trivial. In the case that the deformation is given by operators which transform covariantly under conformal transformations, the conformal field theory S_* can be regarded as an ultraviolet (or infrared when Σ is compact) fixed point of this flow. In the present case, however, the logarithmic scaling violation (3) implies that the running coupling constants transform non-covariantly under scale transformations $z \mapsto \lambda z$,

$$\begin{aligned} g^C &\mapsto g^C + (\log \lambda) g^D \\ g^D &\mapsto g^D \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The coupling constants (g^C, g^D) can be regarded as coordinates on the moduli space of deformed conformal field theories of the form (6), with origin taken at S_* . The Zamolodchikov metric on this space is defined in a neighbourhood of S_* by the two-point functions

$$G_{IJ}(S_*) \equiv (z-w)^{2\Delta} \langle I(z) J(w) \rangle \Big|_{z=w=\Lambda} \quad , \quad I, J = C, D \quad (8)$$

which using (5) gives

$$G(S_*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & b + 2at \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

where $t = -\log \Lambda$ is the renormalization group evolution parameter. The full metric, constructed from both chiral components of the fields, factorizes into holomorphic and antiholomorphic pieces each of the form (9). Now we change from the C, D basis in coupling constant space to

$$\begin{aligned} g'^C &= g^C - t g^D \\ g'^D &= g^D \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then from (7) it follows that the new set of moduli space coordinates (10) are (classically) invariant under scale transformations.

³We assume that all correlators of the quantum field theory are infrared soft and ignore possible infrared divergences.

To solve the corresponding renormalization group equations, we identify the bare coupling constants $g_0^I = g^I(t = \infty)$ and expand the running coupling constants $g^I(t)$ as a power series in g_0^I near the critical point S_* ,

$$g^I(t) = e^{(2-\Delta)t} g_0^I + \frac{\pi}{2-\Delta} \left(e^{2(2-\Delta)t} - e^{(2-\Delta)t} \right) \sum_{J,K} c^I_{JK}(S_*) g_0^J g_0^K + \mathcal{O}(g_0^3) \quad (11)$$

where c^I_{JK} are the operator product expansion coefficients of the logarithmic pair at S_* defined by

$$I(z)J(w) \sim (z-w)^{-\Delta} \sum_K c^K_{IJ}(\log \Lambda) K\left(\frac{1}{2}(z+w)\right) \quad (12)$$

for $z-w \sim \Lambda$. The two-loop β -functions for the couplings (10) are therefore given by the standard expression

$$\beta^I[g'; t] \equiv \frac{dg^I(t)}{dt} = (2-\Delta)g^I(t) - \pi \sum_{J,K} c^I_{JK}(S_*) g'^J(t) g'^K(t) \quad (13)$$

Note that the operator product expansion coefficients (12) contain logarithmic scaling violations, so that (13) also depends explicitly on the evolution parameter t , in contrast to the usual Gell Mann-Low β -functions in the scale-invariant case [12]. They have been computed in [13] and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c^C_{CC}(t) &= \mu + \nu t \\ c^D_{CC}(t) &= \nu \\ c^C_{CD}(t) &= \tau + (\mu - \rho)t - \nu t^2 \\ c^D_{CD}(t) &= \rho + t \\ c^C_{DD}(t) &= \gamma + (2\tau - \delta)t + (\mu - 2\rho)t^2 - \nu t^3 \\ c^D_{DD}(t) &= \delta + 2\rho t + \nu t^2 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\mu, \nu, \dots$ are arbitrary (in general scale-dependent) constants. Substituting (14) into (13) and transforming back to the unprimed coordinate system using (10) gives the two-loop β -functions for the model (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^C[g; t] &= (2-\Delta)g^C - g^D - \pi\mu(g^C)^2 - 2\pi[\tau + (1-3\nu)t^2]g^C g^D \\ &\quad - \pi[\gamma + 4\tau t - (1-4\nu)t^3](g^D)^2 \\ \beta^D[g; t] &= (2-\Delta)g^D - \pi\nu(g^C)^2 - 2\pi[\rho + (1-\nu)t]g^C g^D \\ &\quad - \pi[\delta - 2(1-\nu)t^2](g^D)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

As pointed out in [12], the β -functions as they stand cannot by themselves generate a gradient flow for some scalar function $\mathcal{C}$, because in the moduli space β^I are contravariant vectors whereas the gradient flow $\partial\mathcal{C}/\partial g^I$ is a covariant vector. One needs to contract the β -functions with the Zamolodchikov metric (8) on moduli space to generate the appropriate gradient flow. To put it differently, the operator product expansion coefficients c^I_{JK}

are not completely symmetric in their indices, as would be required for the existence of the function $\mathcal{C}$. In [12] it was shown that precisely the contraction with the Zamolodchikov metric makes the covariant operator product expansion coefficients $c_{IJK} = \sum_L G_{IL} c^L_{JK}$ completely symmetric in their indices. The gradient flow equation in a neighbourhood of the fixed point S_* is therefore written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial g^I} = \sum_J G_{IJ}(S_*) \beta^J \quad (16)$$

To two-loop order, which yields the exact result within the Wilson renormalization scheme, the Zamolodchikov metric contains no linear terms in the g^I . This can be interpreted [12] as a choice of a normal coordinate frame in moduli space, in which connection coefficients vanish.

Using (9) and (15) in (16), we can now write down the curl-free condition for the function $\mathcal{C}$ in the normal coordinate frame. From this it follows that a gradient flow up to $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ can be satisfied provided that the operator product expansion coefficients (14) satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a(\rho - \mu) &= b\nu - a(1 - 3\nu)t \\ a(\delta - \tau) &= b\rho + [b(1 - \nu) + 2a\rho]t + a(5 - 7\nu)t^2 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Notice that these conditions necessitate the scale dependence of at least some of the coefficients. For instance, it is not possible to arrange for a simultaneous vanishing of the t^2 and t dependent terms. With the integrability conditions (17), the flow equation (16) can be integrated to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[g; t] &= c_*(t) + (2 - \Delta)a g^C g^D - \frac{\pi}{3} a\nu (g^C)^3 - a\pi [\rho + (1 - \nu)t] (g^C)^2 g^D \\ &\quad - a\pi [\delta - 2(1 - \nu)t^2] g^C (g^D)^2 + \frac{1}{2} [(b + 2at)(2 - \Delta) - a] (g^D)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{3} [\gamma a + 4\tau at - (1 - 4\nu)at^3 + (b + 2at)(\delta - 2(1 - \nu)t^2)] (g^D)^3 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $c_*(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t , but not of the coupling constants, arising from the moduli space integration. It can be set to a constant by an appropriate choice of renormalization scheme. We note from (17) that $a = 0$ implies $b = 0$, for which the effective action (18) is trivial. In general one needs the mixing between the pair of logarithmic operators to generate a non-trivial gradient flow. In fact, the coefficient b can be set to 0 by a shift $D \rightarrow D + (\text{const.}) \cdot C$ in the logarithmic conformal algebra, but we shall now see that invariance under renormalization group transformations imposes much more severe restrictions on the coefficients in (5).

Notice that as a result of the explicit dependence on t , which cannot be absorbed into a renormalization of the coupling constants, the flow function $\mathcal{C}$ is in general not renormalization group invariant, in contrast to the conventional cases. As we have mentioned, this is a generic feature of logarithmic conformal field theory. Its total scale derivative is

$$\frac{d\mathcal{C}[g; t]}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}[g; t]}{\partial t} + \sum_I \beta^I[g; t] \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}[g; t]}{\partial g^I} \neq 0 \quad (19)$$

However, it is possible to cast $\mathcal{C}[g; t]$ in a form compatible with standard renormalization group invariant quantities. This is precisely the case in the conventional scale invariant two-dimensional field theories [8, 12], where the function $\mathcal{C}$ can be constructed out of renormalization group invariant two-point functions (1) of the energy-momentum tensor⁴. For the function (18), the requirement $\partial\mathcal{C}/\partial t = 0$ along with the integrability conditions (17) are satisfied if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} a &= \frac{d}{dt} \nu = 0 \\
\rho(t) &= (\nu - 1)t \\
\delta(t) &= 2(1 - \nu)t^2 \\
b(t) &= -2at \\
\mu(t) &= 0 \\
\tau(t) &= (3\nu - 1)t^2 \\
\gamma(t) &= (5 - 16\nu)t^3
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In (20) we have ignored irrelevant integration constants for simplicity. Their inclusion does not qualitatively affect the results and can be easily incorporated below by adding (18) for $t = 0$. Then, the renormalization group invariant C -function is

$$\mathcal{C}_0[g] = c_* + (2 - \Delta)a g^C g^D - \frac{\pi}{3} a \nu (g^C)^3 - \frac{a}{2} (g^D)^2 \tag{21}$$

For these choices the Zamolodchikov metric is off-diagonal, $G_{CC} = G_{DD} = 0$, $G_{CD} = G_{DC} = a$, and scale independent.

Note that this t independence requirement fixes the operator product expansion coefficients (14) up to the constants a and ν . When $\nu = 0$, the only non-vanishing coefficient (14) is

$$c_{DD}^C = t^3 \tag{22}$$

In the case that the deformation is marginal, i.e. $\Delta = 2$, the renormalization group equations can be integrated to yield

$$g^D(t) = \text{const.}, \quad , \quad g^C(t) = \text{const.} + g^D t - \frac{\pi}{2} (g^D)^2 t^4 \tag{23}$$

and the two-loop flow function is purely quadratic in the bare coupling constant g_0^D ,

$$\mathcal{C}_0[g]^{\text{marg}} = c_* - \frac{a}{2} (g_0^D)^2 \tag{24}$$

It is instructive at this stage to consider a specific example. We shall briefly describe the situation for the case of D-brane recoil in string theory [4]–[6]. Consider a set of bosonic fields $x^\mu(z, \bar{z})$ defined on a disc Σ , where $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, 9$. These fields propagate

⁴The fact that the energy-momentum tensor is not renormalized stems from the renormalizability of two-dimensional field theories.

according to the free σ -model action $S_* = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} (\partial x^\mu)^2$. The recoil of a D-particle in this model, due to the emission or scattering of open and closed string states off of it, is described by the pair of operators

$$C_\epsilon = \epsilon \Theta_\epsilon(x^0) \quad , \quad D_\epsilon = x^0 \Theta_\epsilon(x^0) \quad (25)$$

where the infinitesimal parameter $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ regulates the step function $\Theta(x^0)$. The operators (25) ensure that, in an impulse approximation, the D0-brane starts moving only at time $x^0 = 0$. They generate a logarithmic conformal algebra with conformal dimension $\Delta = -\epsilon^2/2 < 0$ [4]. The total dimension of the corresponding deformation operator $\int_{\Sigma} \partial \cdot [(y_i C_\epsilon + u_i D_\epsilon) \partial x^i]$, $i = 1, \dots, 9$, added to the action S_* is then $2 - \epsilon^2$ which for non-zero ϵ describes a relevant deformation of this conformal field theory. It becomes marginal in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Furthermore, in the correlated limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ with

$$\epsilon^2 t = -\epsilon^2 \log \Lambda = \text{const.} \quad (26)$$

the two-point correlation functions of the pair (25) are [4]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C_\epsilon(z) C_\epsilon(0) \rangle &\sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \\ \langle C_\epsilon(z) D_\epsilon(0) \rangle &\sim \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\epsilon^2 t}} \left(1 - 2 \log(z/\Lambda)\right) \\ \langle D_\epsilon(z) D_\epsilon(0) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \langle C_\epsilon(z) D_\epsilon(0) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Note that the last correlator in (27) follows from the general (formal) property $D = \partial C / \partial \Delta$ of logarithmic conformal field theories [13, 14]. It is also straightforward to show that in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ all the operator product expansion coefficients vanish except that associated with the three-point function $\langle DDD \rangle$, which diverges as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Thus in the limit (26), we recover a canonical logarithmic conformal algebra, with the renormalization group invariant choice $b = -2at$ naturally recovered from the elimination of the target space regularization parameter ϵ . In the present case, renormalization group invariance thus translates into a relationship between target space and worldsheet scales. The coupling constants g^C of C represent the collective coordinates of the D-particle, while the couplings g^D represent its momentum. The scale parameter t can be identified with the worldsheet zero-mode of the temporal embedding field x^0 . From (23) it follows that upon choosing an appropriate renormalization scheme with new scale $t' = t - \frac{\pi}{2} t^4 g^D$, the coupling constant g^D plays the rôle of a uniform velocity u for the D-particle whose collective coordinate $g^C \sim y(t')$ follows the linear time trajectory $y(t') = y + ut'$ corresponding to a Galilean boost of its rest frame. The resulting quadratic action (24) is the leading order term of the standard Born-Infeld Lagrangian for D-particles. These results have been established directly using σ -model perturbation theory in [6].

We now address the problem of representing the flow function obtained above in terms of correlation functions of the quantum field theory, as in (1). The original ingredients of the proof of the C -theorem [8] are the conservation of the worldsheet energy-momentum tensor T , arising from two-dimensional general coordinate invariance, and its

non-renormalization. As shown in [10], the explicit forms of the two-point correlation functions of the energy-momentum tensor are not important in this proof. The conservation law for T reads

$$\bar{\partial} T_{zz}(z, \bar{z}) + \frac{1}{4} \partial T_{z\bar{z}}(z, \bar{z}) = 0 \quad (28)$$

Applying (28) to the two-point functions in (1), and using the reality of these correlation functions which allows one to replace the differential operators $z\partial$ and $\bar{z}\bar{\partial}$ by $\partial/\partial t$, one easily arrives at the C -theorem [8, 10]

$$\frac{\partial \Phi[g]}{\partial t} = 12 \int_{\Sigma} d^2 z \, z^2 \bar{z}^2 \langle T_{z\bar{z}}(z, \bar{z}) T_{z\bar{z}}(0, 0) \rangle_g \quad (29)$$

without needing to explicitly know the components of the energy-momentum tensor, but merely as a consequence of very general symmetries.

The important issue which arises in the presence of logarithmic deformations concerns the renormalization of the energy-momentum tensor. We shall now argue that the non-renormalization of T extends to the logarithmic conformal field theory case. For this, we consider the behaviour of the logarithmic pair C, D under the action of the energy-momentum tensor field. From (3) it follows that the pertinent operator product expansions read

$$\begin{aligned} T(z)C(w) &\sim \frac{\Delta}{(z-w)^2} C(w) + \dots \\ T(z)D(w) &\sim \frac{\Delta}{(z-w)^2} D(w) + \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} C(w) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

for $z-w \rightarrow 0$, where the dots denote sub-leading terms. Using (3) for a scale transformation $z \mapsto e^{-t}z$ as t varies, we have the field scaling property

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} = C \quad (31)$$

Differentiating both sides of (30) with respect to t and using (31) it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[(z-w)^2 T(z) \right] C(w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[(z-w)^2 T(z) \right] D(w) = 0 \quad (32)$$

which implies that T is not renormalized in the logarithmic conformal field theory. Thus the standard proof of the C -theorem applies in this case, allowing us to write (29) in the form

$$\sum_I \beta^I \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial g^I} = -12 \sum_{I,J} \beta^I G_{IJ} \beta^J \quad (33)$$

where we have used the standard expression for the representation of correlators of the energy-momentum tensor in terms of the deformation vertex operators⁵.

⁵This representation extends to the logarithmic case as a consequence of the scale-invariance of the free energy of generic two-dimensional quantum field theories.

From (16) and (33) it follows that the flow function $\mathcal{C}$ is related to the canonical Zamolodchikov C -function by

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{C}[g; t]}{\partial g^I} = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{\partial \Phi[g]}{\partial g^I} + \mathcal{A}_I[g; t] \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_I \mathcal{A}_I[g; t] \beta^I[g; t] = 0 \quad (34)$$

The appearance of the function $\mathcal{A}_I$ in (34) again implies certain constraints among the operator product expansion coefficients of the logarithmic conformal field theory, arising from the explicit scale dependences of the quantities involved. For the examples of string σ -models in which $\beta^I = \sum_J G^{IJ} \delta S / \delta g^J$, one may take it to be of the form $\mathcal{A}_I[g; t] = \sum_J \delta g^J \mathcal{K}_{JI}[g; t]$, where δg^I is a symmetry transformation of the coupling constants. The explicit form of the function (1) has been computed in [11] and is given to leading orders by

$$\Phi[g] = \phi_* - 6 \left[2a(2 - \Delta) g^C g^D - (a - b_*(2 - \Delta)) (g^D)^2 \right] \quad (35)$$

which yields the scale-invariant flow function (21) to $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ in the coupling constant expansion⁶. Note that this need not imply that the usual C -theorem holds because the right-hand side of (29) need not be negative for non-unitary models, as is the case of most logarithmic conformal field theories. Some conditions for the monotonicity of (35) under a change of scale are given in [11].

It is straightforward to generalize the above analysis to the case where the logarithmic conformal field theory contains a rank $n > 2$ Jordan block, as well as more than one Jordan cell structure, using the two-point correlation functions and operator product expansion coefficients computed in [13]. One problem not addressed by the present analysis is the existence of the gradient flow *globally* on the moduli space, away from a neighbourhood of a critical point S_* . There may be topological obstructions in moduli space to the flow, and it would be interesting to examine more precisely the global properties of this space for logarithmic conformal field theories. We note that the explicit scale-dependence in the operator product expansion coefficients of a logarithmic conformal field theory implies the breakdown of their interpretation as factorizable S-matrix elements in target space. An analogous situation is present in the case of open systems, where an explicit renormalization group dependence arises from ultraviolet divergences at the turning points of closed time-like paths, and also in Liouville (non-critical) string theory where the correlators depend explicitly on the worldsheet area in the fixed-area formalism [15]. In this latter case the Liouville field can be identified with the target space time coordinate [16], and the Liouville deformations of the cosmological constant are given by a set of logarithmic operators.

⁶The constant coefficient b_* is the scale invariant part of the condition $b(t) = -2at + b_*$ in (20), which was ignored in the analysis above for simplicity. From (18) and (35) it is straightforward to see that the inclusion of such integration constants in the conditions (20) does not affect the identification of $-\frac{1}{12}\Phi$ with the renormalization group invariant flow function $\mathcal{C}_0[g]$ to $\mathcal{O}[g^2]$.

References

- [1] L. Rozansky and H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **B376** (1992) 461;
V. Gurarie, Nucl. Phys. **B410** [FS] (1993) 535;
M.A.I. Flohr, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11** (1996) 4147; **A12** (1997) 1943;
M.R. Gaberdiel and H.G. Kausch, Nucl. Phys. **B489** (1996) 293; Phys. Lett. **B386** (1996) 131;
F. Rohsiepe, *On Reducible but Indecomposable Representations of the Virasoro Algebra*, hep-th/9611160;
I.I. Kogan, A. Lewis and O.A. Soloviev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13** (1998) 1345.
- [2] X.-G. Wen, Y.-S. Wu and Y. Hatsugai, Nucl. Phys. **B422** [FS] (1994) 476;
J.S. Caux, I.I. Kogan and A.M. Tsvelik, Nucl. Phys. **B466** (1996) 444;
G.M. Watts, *A Crossing Probability for Critical Percolation in Two-dimensions*, cond-mat/9603167;
M.A.I. Flohr, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996) 55;
Z. Maassarini and D. Šerban, Nucl. Phys. **B489** (1997) 603;
V. Gurarie, M.A.I. Flohr and C. Nayak, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 513;
J.S. Caux, N. Taniguchi and A.M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 1276.
- [3] A. Bilal and I.I. Kogan, Nucl. Phys. **B449** (1995) 569;
I.I. Kogan and N.E. Mavromatos, Phys. Lett. **B375** (1996) 111;
V. Periwal and O. Tafford, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 4690;
D. Berenstein, R. Corrado, W. Fischler, S. Paban and M. Rozali, Phys. Lett. **B384** (1996) 93;
I.I. Kogan and A. Lewis, Nucl. Phys. **B509** (1998) 687.
- [4] I.I. Kogan, N.E. Mavromatos and J.F. Wheeler, Phys. Lett. **B387** (1996) 483.
- [5] J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos and D.V. Nanopoulos, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 2639.
- [6] F. Lizzi and N.E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 7869.
- [7] M.R. Rahimi Tabar and S. Rouhani, Ann. Phys. **246** (1996) 446; Nuovo Cimento **B112** (1997) 1079; Phys. Lett. **A224** (1997) 331; Europhys. Lett. **37** (1997) 447;
M.A.I. Flohr, Nucl. Phys. **B482** (1996) 567;
O. Coceal, W.A. Sabra and S. Thomas, *Conformal Solutions of Duality Invariant 2D Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence*, hep-th/9604157.
- [8] A.B. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **43** (1986) 731; Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **46** (1987) 1090;
A.A.W. Ludwig and J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B285** [FS19] (1987) 687.
- [9] A.A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. **B194** (1987) 63;
H. Osborn, Phys. Lett. **B214** (1988) 555.
- [10] N.E. Mavromatos and J.L. Miramontes, Phys. Lett. **B212** (1988) 33;
N.E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. **D39** (1989) 1659.
- [11] M.R. Rahimi-Tabar and S. Rouhani, *Zamolodchikov's C-theorem and the Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory*, hep-th/9707060.
- [12] N.E. Mavromatos, J.L. Miramontes and J.M. Sánchez de Santos, Phys. Rev. **D40** (1989) 535.
- [13] M.R. Rahimi-Tabar, A. Aghamohammadi and M. Khorrami, Nucl. Phys. **B497** (1997) 555;
M.A.I. Flohr, Nucl. Phys. **B514** (1998) 523.

- [14] A. Shafiekhani and M.R. Rahimi-Tabar, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 3723.
- [15] J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos and D.V. Nanopoulos, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 1759.
- [16] J. Ellis, N.E. Mavromatos and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. **B293** (1992) 37;
I.I. Kogan, in: *Particles and Fields '91*, eds. D. Axen, D. Bryman and M. Comyn
(World Scientific, Singapore, 1992) 837.